SINGLE BENCH

(1)

(4)

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATUERE AT BILASPUR (C.G.)

W.P. NO. 2732 **/2006**

PETITIONER : Smt. Phool Bai Yadav, Widow of Narayan prasad, aged about 60 years, R/o- Village -Kapan, District Janjgir-Champa (C.G.)

P.R. No. 02/06
Presented by Shri Suresh Tandan
dated 2/1/06

Versus

RESPONDENTS

1. State of Chhattisgarh
Through-the Secretary,
Public Works Department,
D.K.S. Bhawan, Raipur (C.G.)

2. Sub-Divisional Officer
Public Works Department, Janjgir-Champa, District- Janjgir Champa (C.G.).

RECEIVED-COPY
2/01/06
CLERK to A. G. BILASPUR

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA.

XI-HC-78

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

मामला क्रमांक W.P. No. 2732 **सन् 200** 6

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	8.1.2007 Mr. F.S. Khare and Mr. Anoop Mazumdar, Advocates for the petitioners. Mrs. Anju Ahuja, Government Advocate for the State/respondents. With the consent of learned counsel for the parties, the matters are heard finally and disposed of. Order dictated in W.P. (s) No. 6004 of 2006 in open Court, passed separately Sd/- Satish K. Agnihotri Judge	

Bablu

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

(S7)

W. P. (S) No. 6004 of 2006

Petitioner : Smt. Resham Bai

Versus

Respondents : State of Chhattisgarh and another

W. P. (S) No. 2732 of 2006

Petitioner : Smt. Phool Bai Ydav

Versus

Respondents : State of Chhattisgarh and another

W. P. (S) No. 7281 of 2006

Petitioner : Bahura Bai

Versus

Respondents : State of Chhattisgarh and another

And

W. P. (S) No. 7282 of 2006

Petitioner : Triveni Prasad

Versus

Respondents : State of Chhattisgarh and another

SB: Hon'ble Mr. Justice Satish K. Agnihotri, J

Mr. F.S. Khare and Mr. Anoop Mazumdar, Advocates for the petitioners.

Mrs. Anju Ahuja, Deputy Government Advocate for the respondents/State.

O R D E R

(Passed on this 8th day of January, 2007)

The following order of the Court is passed by Satish K. Agnihotri, J.

1. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioners as well as learned Deputy Government Advocate appearing for the respondents/State jointly submit that the facts of the present case are similar to that

(55)

of in Writ Petition 108 of 2005 (Govind Vs. State of Chhattisgarh & others), which was disposed of vide order dated 4th day of January, 2007. They further submit that the question of law involved is also the same.

2. In view of the above stated submissions made by learned counsel for the parties, these petitions are allowed in terms of the order dated 4th day of January, 2007 passed by this Court in Writ Petition 108 of 2005. No order as to costs.

3. A copy of this order be placed on record in other connected writ petitions.

Sd/-
Satish K. Agnihotri
Judge

Bablu